## Submission ID: 17120

This comment is regarding 2 matters raised at the inquiry.

1 The effect of the substation on the openness of the Green Belt.

the substation covers an area of circa 15 acres, the surrounding field also has 3 pylons from the north YN008,009,0010 and then a further 6 pylons XC415,414,416,SP001,002,003.all the pylons are circa 50 m high with a tolerance of 6m if required.In addition there is a large area of planting on the north east side of the substation and some bunding along the A19 boundary.NG stance is that this does not effect the openness of the green belt- this is factually incorrect and no amount of planting will disguise the industrial nature of the proposed facility.If the substation was located on the west side of the main York to Edinburgh Railway line then it would much less visible from Shipton,Skelton and the A19.The railway line is electrified so the skyline is already tarnished by this overhead infratsrtucture and therefore the overall effect would be diminished.

2 Do overhead lines attract development

NG stance is that they don't which is not quite correct. In my experience

Renewable Developers pin point a pylon then approach NG to see if there is capacity in the overhead line and if there is, consent to connect is applied for, if this is forth coming then the developer builds their own substation and renewable enterprise be it solar or battery storage. So in this type of development pylon lines do attract development.







